

Thermochimica Acta 306 (1997) 73-76

thermochimica acta

# Activation energy of calcination by means of a temperature programmed reaction technique

Filip Acke<sup>\*</sup>, Itai Panas

Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Göteborg University, S-412 96 Göteborg, Sweden

Received 14 April 1997; accepted 17 June 1997

#### Abstract

Calcination of limestone is considered to demonstrate the performance of a temperature programmed reaction technique to determine apparent activation energies for decomposition in the solid state. Influences of sample weight, particle size and sweep gas (He and Ar) are discussed, and connections are made to thermogravimetry studies in the literature. © 1997 Elsevier Science B.V.

Keywords: Calcination; Limestone; Sweep gas; Thermogravimetry; Activation energy

## 1. Introduction

Calcination of limestone,  $CaCO_3(s)$ , to form CaO(s)and  $CO_2(g)$  has been studied extensively in the past. The three key processes, articulated by Satterfield and Feakes [1], controlling the rate of calcination are (i) heat transfer, (ii) mass transfer as  $CO_2$  is removed from the carbonate-oxide interface, through the oxide and into the gas phase, and (iii) the actual chemical reaction. Khinast et al. [2] complemented this list by also including  $CO_2$  diffusion in the pore system of the particles.

Apparent activation energies for calcination in the 35–50 kcal/mol range have been reported, while the activation energy for the chemical reaction was determined to be 50 kcal/mol [3,4]. The lower apparent activation energies were underIndeed, both heat- and mass-transfer limitations have been proven to influence the rate of calcination. Caldwell et al. [5] demonstrated the improved heat conductivity of the selected sweep gas (He, N<sub>2</sub> or Ar) to increase the rate of calcination, while Gallagher and Johnson [6] showed sample weight to be a crucial factor in the determination of the activation energy. In fact, an extrapolated infinite apparent  $E_a$  in the limit of zero sample weight was produced, which led the authors to doubt the existence of a unique activation energy for calcination. Finally, the effect of particle size on the reaction kinetics has been demonstrated [2], suggesting decreasing sample size leading to suppression of heat- and mass-transfer effects.

Here, a temperature programmed desorption (TPD) approach will be attempted, to determine the apparent activation energy for calcination of natural limestone. The influence of sample weight, sample size, and sweep gas will be discussed.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Fax: 00 46 31 772 2853; e-mail: filip@inoc.chalmers.se

stood to result from heat- and/or mass-transfer limitations.

<sup>0040-6031/97/\$17.00 (</sup>i) 1997 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved *P11* \$0040-6031(97)00290-6

#### 2. Model

The use of TPD techniques is a common practice when activation energies for heterogeneous reaction/ desorption processes are sought, e.g. Refs. [7,8]. The method is based on the measurement of temperatures and adsorbate coverages for different heating rates. The activation energy is determined from the slope in a  $\ln(T_m^2 \theta_m^{n-1} \beta^{-1})$  versus  $T_m^{-1}$  plot (*n* being the reaction order,  $T_{\rm m}$  and  $\theta_{\rm m}$  temperatures and coverages at the desorption maxima, and  $\beta$  the heating rate in K/s). An extensive discussion on this technique can be found in a work by Lord and Kittelberger [9], the mathematical treatment of which will be repeated here. The purpose is to explore possible applicability of the TPD approach to calcination in a bulk material. Preference for the TPD approach originates from the fact that CO<sub>2</sub> evolution in terms of partial pressure is measured, rather than the weight changes observed in the thermogravimetric studies.

Let  $\theta$  be the ratio between reacted and initial amounts of CaCO<sub>3</sub>. The reaction rate becomes

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\mathrm{d}t} = -k\theta^n \tag{1}$$

where *n* is the reaction order. Insertion of the Arrhenius expression for the reaction constant and the relation between temperature and heating rate  $T = T_0 + \beta t$ , produces

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\mathrm{d}T} = -\theta^n \frac{A}{\beta} \mathrm{e}^{-E_\mathrm{a}/RT} \tag{2}$$

Assuming the forward reaction to dominate the calcination process, then the partial pressure of  $CO_2$ becomes proportional to the reaction rate. These are the crucial assumptions of the present effort. The maximum reaction rate condition is given by

$$\left[\frac{\mathrm{d}P_{\mathrm{CO}_2}}{\mathrm{d}T}\right]_{\mathrm{m}} = \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\theta}{\mathrm{d}^2T}\right]_{\mathrm{m}} = 0 \tag{3}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{n}{\theta_{\rm m}} \left( \frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\mathrm{d}T} \right)_{\rm m} = -\frac{E_{\rm a}}{RT_{\rm m}^2} \tag{4}$$

and the expression

$$n\theta_{\rm m}^{n-1}\frac{A}{\beta}{\rm e}^{-E_{\rm a}/RT_{\rm m}} = \frac{E_{\rm a}}{RT_{\rm m}^2} \tag{5}$$

is obtained by the virtue of Eq. (2). Restructuring Eq. (5) and taking the natural logarithm produces the sought result

$$\ln(T_{\rm m}^2\theta_{\rm m}^{n-1}/\beta) = \frac{E_{\rm a}}{RT_{\rm m}} + \ln\left(\frac{E_{\rm a}}{nAR}\right).$$
 (6)

### 3. Experimental

Köping limestone is calcined in a quartz reactor with a 22 mm inner diameter and a length of 500 mm. The reactor, which has an asymmetric construction to avoid heating up of the metal fitting, and the vacuum tight Viton O-ring, is placed in a furnace. The amount of limestone in the bed material is varied between 0.5 mg and 1 g. It is mixed with quartz sand to control the residence time. The bed material is placed on a sintered quartz filter 80 mm below the middle of the reactor. The temperature is measured with a K-type thermocouple 4 mm below the quartz filter. A Eurotherm thermoregulator steered by a second K-type thermocouple, in contact with the heating coil, controls the furnace to produce the heating ramps. The heating rates ( $\beta$ ) used are 3.75, 7.5, 22.5 and 30 K/min. A mass spectrometer (Balzers type QMG 421-3), set on mass 44, is connected to the reactor outlet.

The calcination behaviours of two different particle size distributions have been considered, and these are given in Table 1. Influence of heat transport on the apparent activation energy was tested for the coarse particle size, and two different sweep gases, He and Ar. The gases were delivered by AGA. Gas flows between 200 and 265 ml/min were used.

Table 1					
Particle size distribution	of the	fine and	coarse	natural	limestone

	Micrometer (µm)	Köping limestone		
		Fine	Coarse	
	500-1000	0	11.9	
	250-500	0	18.6	
Particle size (%)	125-250	0	29.5	
	87-125	2.8	35.3	
	62-87	2.8	3.8	
	<62	94.4	0.9	

## 4. Results and discussion

It comes out clear from Eq. (6) that TPD is not suitable for determining the order of reaction. This is accentuated if the right-hand side of Eq. (6) is written as

$$2\ln T_{\rm m} + (n-1)\ln\theta_{\rm m} - \ln\beta \tag{7}$$

given that  $0.5 < \theta_m < 0.65$  is fairly independent of heating rates in the range  $3.75 \le \beta \le 30$  K/min, and  $975 < T_m < 1075$  K. This observation is validated in Table 2. Two sample weights, 2.71 mg and 0.1000 g were considered, and the obtained activation energies are listed for five hypothetical reaction orders in the range  $0.1 \le n \le 2$ . This insensitivity justifies using the convenient value n = 1 below.

While TPD can safely be discarded as a method to determine reaction orders, in agreement with observations in Ref. [9], it is gratifying to find the activation energies of Table 2 in narrow ranges. The values for  $E_a$  of  $\approx 40$  kcal/mol for the 0.1000 g sample, and  $\approx 50$  kcal/mol for the 2.71 mg sample indeed also indicate sample weight effects.

To extract the weight dependence of  $E_a$ , the apparent activation energies were determined for sample weights (W) in the range  $0.5 \text{ mg} \le W \le 1.0 \text{ g}$  with both He and Ar sweep gases and for two different

60 Apparent activation energy 50 40 (kcal/mol) 30 20 - Ar/coarse - He/coarse 10 - Ar/fine ٥ 0.0001 0.001 0.01 0.1 1 sample weight (g)

Fig. 1. The apparent activation energy as a function of sample weight for different sweep gases and particle sizes.

particle size distributions (see Table 1). Fig. 1 displays apparent activation energies versus log(W). It is found that for sample weights less than 7 mg, the activation energies become independent of sweep gases and particle size. Extrapolation to zero sample weight produces  $E_a = 49$  kcal/mol. This value, for which heat- and mass-transfer problems have been apparently removed, should be a good estimate of the activation energy for calcination of CaCO<sub>3</sub>(s). In fact, other estimates in the literature give around 50 kcal/mol [3,4].

Indicative of heat-transfer effects are the differences between the activation energies obtained with helium

Table 2

Influence of the reaction order on the apparent activation energy for two different sample weights: (a) 0.1000 g and (b) 2.71 mg

ß	<i>T</i> <sub>m</sub> (K)	$1/T_{\rm m}  imes 10^{-3}$ (1/K)	$\ln(T_{ m m}^2\Theta_{ m m}^{n-1}/eta)$				
(K/s)			n = 0.1	n = 0.5	n = 1	n = 1.5	<i>n</i> = 2
(a)							
0.0625	976	1.025	16.96	16.77	16.54	16.31	16.07
0.125	1000	1.000	16.29	16.12	15.89	15.67	15.45
0.375	1053	0.950	15.27	15.10	14.90	14.70	14.49
0.5	1073	0.935	15.00	14.84	14.64	14.45	14.25
Соп			99.77696	99.7694	99.76	99.7689	99.7686
					92		
E <sub>a</sub> (kcal/mol	)		42.79	42.17	41.41	40.64	39.87
(5)							
0.0625	914	1.094	16.84	16.65	16.41	16.17	15.92
0.125	934	1.071	16.17	15.99	15.76	15.53	15.30
0.375	972	1.029	15.18	14.98	14.74	14.49	14.24
0.5	982	1.018	14.98	14.75	14.47	14.42	13.91
Соп			99.8537	99.9177	99.96	99.9692	99.9588
					41		
E <sub>a</sub> (kcal/mol)	)		48.66	49.39	50.30	51.22	52.13

and argon as sweeping gases, observed for sample weights greater than 7 mg. The main expected origin of this effect is the endothermic character of the calcination reaction, making the actual temperature lower at the interface between the CaCO<sub>3</sub> and CaO phases, then that measured in the gas phase. The greater apparent activation energies for helium than argon, bringing  $E_a$ (He) closer to the limiting value, is in line with a partially heat transfer controlled reaction for larger sample weights.

Decrease in particle size result in decreased apparent activation energies in the large and medium sample weight regimes. This could be understood to contradict the observation of Khinast et al. [2]. These authors interpret results to imply decreased mass- and heattransfer effects with reduced particle sizes. However, the opposite effect observed in Fig. 1 could be taken to imply interparticle diffusion effects to influence the results for the higher sample weights.

In conclusion, a fast and accurate tool is proposed to determine activation energies for decomposition of solids. The suggested approach attempts to make a 3dimensional analogy to a desorption process, which would make the temperature programmed reaction technique applicable. The activation energies for calcination, ascribed to the reaction step

$$CaCO_3(s) \Rightarrow CaO(s) + CO_2(g)$$
 (8)

has been determined, and found in agreement with the literature values. Removal of heat- and mass-transfer

limitation effects produce an activation energy of 49 kcal/mol.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Swedish National Board for Industrial and Technical Development (NUTEK).

#### References

- [1] N.C. Scatterfield, F. Feakes, A.I.Ch.E. J. 5 (1959) 115.
- [2] J. Khinast, G.F. Krammer, Ch. Brunner, G. Staudinger, Chemical Engineering Science 51 (1996) 623.
- [3] R.H. Borgwardt, Journal of A.I.Ch.E. J. 31 (1986) 103.
- [4] D. Beruto, A.W. Searcy, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Transaction 70 (1974) 2154.
- [5] K.M. Caldwell, P.K. Ghallager, D.W. Johnson, Thermochim. Acta 18 (1977) 15.
- [6] P.K. Ghallager, D.W. Johnson, Thermochim. Acta 6 (1973) 67.
- [7] V.A. Sadykov, S.I. Baron, V.A. Matyshak, G.M. Alinka, R.V. Bunina, A.Y. Rozovskii, V.V. Lunin, E.V. Lunina, A.N. Kharlanov, A.S. Ovampva, S.A. Veniaminov, Catalysis Letters 37 (1997) 157.
- [8] C. Sellmer, V. Schmatloch, N. Kruse, Catalysis Letters 35 (1995) 165.
- [9] F.M. Lord, J.S. Kittelberger, Surface Science 43 (1974) 173.